# Translation

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



# **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 1.2018 PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)					
International application No. PCT/DE2003/003113	International filing date (day/month/year)  19 September 2003 (19.09.2003)  Priority date (day/month/year)  18 October 2002 (18.10.2002)					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC C04B 38/04						
Applicant FORSCHUNGSZENTRUM JÜLICH GMBH						
<ol> <li>This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</li> </ol>						
2. This REPORT consists of a total of _	•					
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).						
These annexes consist of a total	of sheets.					
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:						
I Basis of the report						
II Priority						
III Non-establishment of c	opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
IV Lack of unity of invention						
V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;						
VI Certain documents cited						
VII Certain defects in the in	ternational application					
VIII Certain observations on the international application						
Date of submission of the demand	Date of completion of this report					
29 April 2004 (29.04.2004						
ame and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized officer					
acsimile No.	Telephone No.					

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (July 1998)

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/DE2003/003113

I. Basis of the report						
1. Wit	th regard to the elements of the international application:*					
	the international application as originally filed					
$\boxtimes$	the description:					
	pages 1-1	4 , as originally filed				
	***************************************	, as originally filed , filed with the demand				
	pages					
$\boxtimes$	the claims:					
-	pages1-1	os originally filed				
		, as originally filed , as amended (together with any statement under Article 19				
	***************************************	, as alliended (together with any statement under Article 19 , filed with the demand				
	pages	, filed with the letter of, filed with the demand				
	the drawings:	, med with the letter of				
	nages					
		, filed with the demand				
		, filed with the letter of				
لــا	the sequence listing part of the description:					
	pages	, as originally filed				
	pages	, filed with the demand				
	pages	, filed with the letter of				
Thes	the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the language of publication of the international application the language of the translation furnished for the purposes or 55.3).  In regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequenciminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence contained in the international application in written form. filed together with the international application in compute furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer reada. The statement that the subsequently furnished written international application as filed has been furnished.  The statement that the information recorded in compute been furnished.  The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:  the description, pages the claims, Nos.	the following language which is: international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). In (under Rule 48.3(b)). Is of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/ ce disclosed in the international application, the international ence listing:				
<u></u>	the drawings, sheets/fig					
Repla	cement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving O	Mine in warmannes of the state				
and 70	0.17).	ffice in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to his report since they do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16				
Any	eplacement sheet containing such amendments must be referr	ed to under item I and annexed to this report.				

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/DE 03/03113

V.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
•	citations and explanations supporting such statement

1.	Statement			
.	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-12	YES
		Claims		NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
		Claims	1-12	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-12	YES
		Claims		NO NO

2. Citations and explanations

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: Database WPI, Derwent Publications,

AN 1984-156245[25], DW 198425 &

JP 59 083972 A (NGK SPARK PLUG CO),

15 May 1984

D2: US-A-5613183.

The present application fails to meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

Document **D1** is considered to be the prior art closest to the subject matter of claim 1. Said document discloses a method for the production of porous ceramic components, which method comprises the following steps:

- a ceramic powder, binder, water-soluble solvent and a
  'placeholder' (NH4Cl, NaCl, etc.) are admixed;
- b the body thus obtained is moulded;
- c the solvent and the 'placeholder' are removed by extraction with water;
- d the moulded body thus obtained is sintered.

/ . . .

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/DE 03/03113

The substances used as binders - namely polyurethane, polystyrene, etc. - are thermoplastic.

The method according to claim 1 differs in particular from the method known from D1 by virtue of the preliminary removal of the binder from the moulded body.

The option of preliminary binder removal and the advantages thereof are known from D2 (see column 4, lines 34-54). In the light of these disclosures, combining preliminary binder removal with the method known from D1 would be considered a routine procedure by a person skilled in the art.

The option of selecting the injection method for the moulding is known from D2 (column 4, line 30).

Dependent claims 2-12 do not appear to contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer back, meet the PCT requirements for inventive step.

These features are either explicitly or implicitly known from D1 or D2, or merely concern obvious possibilities from which a person skilled in the art would choose, according to the circumstances, without thereby being inventive.